



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,133	07/16/2003	Viktor Varsa	944-001.083-1	4482
4955	7590	06/10/2010	EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			SMITH, MARCUS	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224				2467
MONROE, CT 06468				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,133	VARSA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCUS R. SMITH	2467	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 120

(1) MARCUS R. SMITH. (3) _____.

(2) KENNETH LAO (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1,13, 27 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Harumoto et al. (US 2002/0004840) in view of Colavito et al. (US 20030152094) and Radha et al. (US 6,700,893).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and applicant's representative discuss claim interpretation to close prosecution without going to the board of appeals. The representative submit some proposed changes to claim to overcome the examiner broadest reasonable interpretation of claim and the prior art cited in the previous office actions. The proposed claims are still under review by the the examiner.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Marcus R Smith/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2467

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)